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#### Project Organization

The Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP), Division of Watershed Management (DWM), Watershed Planning Program (WPP) gathers background information, formulates sampling plans, and carries out monitoring and assessment activities on rivers, lakes, and coastal waters pursuant to the requirements of the Clean Water Act (CWA). Massachusetts’ water monitoring programs include both deterministic (targeted) and probabilistic (random) sampling networks and encompass both rotating watershed monitoring cycles as well as non-rotating, priority-driven schedules (see *A Strategy for Monitoring and Assessing the Quality of Massachusetts’ Waters to Support Multiple Water Resource Management Objectives 2016 – 2025* at <https://www.mass.gov/doc/water-quality-monitoring-strategy-2016-2025/download>).

Targeted monitoring networks are a component of the Massachusetts’ water monitoring strategy and are used to achieve a wide range of objectives. The types of monitoring objectives that can be addressed with targeted monitoring include water resource assessment, source identification, stressor identification, trend analysis, TMDL development, water quality criteria/biocriteria development and 303(d) list development. Targeted monitoring networks have typically been implemented on rotating watershed schedules in conjunction with the other components of the monitoring strategy. This Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) provides details pertaining to Targeted Assessment Monitoring (TAM) project planned by the WPP for rivers in western Massachusetts in 2024. Specific descriptions of WPP staff roles and responsibilities for this monitoring project are detailed in Table 1. In addition, the WPP fulltime monitoring program staff will be augmented by the hiring of five (5) seasonal employees from May through September to ensure that enough personnel are available to carry out field surveys and selected laboratory analyses, as planned.

| **Table 1.** Project Roles and Responsibilities related to monitoring and data use | |
| --- | --- |
| **Project Personnel** | **Responsibility** |
| Project Coordinators  -Therese Beaudoin (Site Allotment 1)  -Pete Mitchell (Site Allotment 2)  -Steve Bittner (Site Allotment 3)  -Dan Davis (Site Allotment 4) | Responsible for site reconnaissance, obtaining landowner access permission, defining logistics for efficient monitoring and generation of useable data at assigned sites using the procedures contained in WPP SOPs. |
| Fish population survey crews  -Dan Davis (lead)  -Pete Mitchell (lead)  -Steve Bittner (lead)  -WPP staff and seasonal employees | Responsible for conducting accurate, precise fish population sampling using electrofishing techniques contained in WPP SOPs. |
|
|
| Benthic macroinvertebrate survey crews  -Steve Bittner (lead)  -Pete Mitchell (lead)  -Dan Davis (lead)  -Therese Beaudoin (lead)  -James Meek (lead)  -WPP staff and seasonal employees | Responsible for benthic macroinvertebrate and aquatic habitat survey data collection using procedures contained in WPP SOPs. |
| Water quality survey crews  -Therese Beaudoin (lead)  -Steve Bittner (lead)  -Dan Davis (lead)  -Pete Mitchell (lead)  -James Meek (lead)  -WPP staff and seasonal employees | Responsible for the collection of samples and data at assigned sites using the sample collection techniques and probe use procedures contained in WPP SOPs. |

For each field monitoring survey event, the staff member serving as the survey crew leader (at a minimum) will have met the following qualifications:

• Familiarity with this SAP and all applicable SOPs for that survey

• Completion of a multiprobe sampling/grab sampling/QC training segment

• Prior field experience with survey equipment and with similar monitoring surveys

• Be physically able to access the sites, carry equipment and samples, and perform the sampling.

Survey crew leaders will be accompanied by one or more additional crew members for each survey, depending on the kind of sampling to be undertaken (e.g., water, macroinvertebrates, fish, etc.). All field survey crew personnel and WES/WPP lab personnel will be trained in the proper application of standard operating procedures (SOPs). Field training may range from formal WPP training sessions to field instructions provided by a trained and experienced WPP survey crew leader. WPP lab training (e.g., chlorophyll *a*, color, turbidity, Colilert) will be provided to selected WPP staff and seasonal employees, who will run the analyses. All WPP training activities will be documented using signature sheets. The WPP lab will perform a portion (Site groups 1 – 9, see Table 2) of the *E. coli* analyses on water samples collected as part of this project.

Dr. Oscar Pancorbo, Director of MassDEP’s Wall Experiment Station (WES), and/or his designees, will coordinate with the WPP regarding sample delivery, analyses, and reporting. WES has been selected to perform total phosphorus, total nitrogen, nitrate/nitrite, ammonia, chloride, trace elements (Ca+, Mg+, and Na+), dissolved hardness, and dissolved organic carbon (DOC). If WES is unable to perform any analyses due to resource constraints, the samples will be sent to Alpha Analytical (WES backup laboratory) for analyses. If necessary, WES will coordinate with Alpha Analytical and/or their designee regarding sample delivery, analyses, reporting, and invoice payment.

The project manager at Pace Analytical and Cole Ecological and/or their designees will coordinate with the WPP regarding sample delivery, analyses, and reporting. Pace Analytical has been selected to perform a portion of the *E. coli* analyses on water samples collected as part of this project (Site groups 10 – 12, see Table 2). Cole Ecological has been selected to perform macroinvertebrate taxonomic identification on samples collected as part of this project.

#### Project Definition and Background

Deterministic (i.e., targeted) monitoring networks are used for identifying individual waters for assessment and listing in accordance with sections 305(b) and 303(d) of the CWA. Massachusetts’ water monitoring strategy (MassDEP 2018) highlights the value of deterministic (i.e., targeted) monitoring for confirming causes and identifying sources of impairment or, alternatively, demonstrating that previously impaired waters are now supporting their beneficial uses. The WPP has prioritized the Millers, Deerfield, and Westfield watersheds in western Massachusetts (B2) for TAM in 2024, year four of a new seven-year recurring river basin schedule (see Appendix A). The WPP has developed a general approach for prioritizing waters to be monitored that focuses on strengthening the categorization of waters (i.e., assessment units or AUs) included on Massachusetts’ integrated CWA section 305(b)/303(d) report (IR) (MassDEP 2021). Highest priority will be given to gathering data and information on AUs currently listed as impaired that may not be and, therefore, could be delisted, as well as on AUs that are not currently identified as impaired but there is some evidence to suggest that they are impaired and should be listed as such (see Sampling Process Design below). A total of 93 stream sites located throughout Cohort B2, had been prioritized and scheduled for monitoring in 2024 (B2).

The goal of the 2024 monitoring effort is to collect enough water quality and biomonitoring data to assess the aquatic life, recreational and aesthetic use support status of the AUs represented by the sampling sites. The WPP proposes to gather the following kinds of environmental data and information at each site in fulfillment of this goal:

* Benthic macroinvertebrate community
* Habitat assessment
* Fish community
* Nutrients (total phosphorus, total nitrogen, nitrate-nitrite, and ammonia)
* Chloride
* Trace elements (Ca+, Mg+, and Na+)
* Dissolved hardness (calculated from Ca+ and Mg+)
* Dissolved organic carbon (DOC)
* pH (instantaneous)
* Temperature (instantaneous)
* Continuous temperature (8-week duration)
* Dissolved oxygen (instantaneous)
* Continuous dissolved oxygen (8-week duration)
* *Escherichia coli* bacteria
* Selected field observations

#### Project Description

**Overview of Targeted Assessment Monitoring in 2024**

##### Water Quality (Chemical and Physical)

Water quality grab samples will be collected five or six times from May to September at all the sites using wade-in techniques described in WPP standard operating procedures (SOP). Some samples may be collected from non-wadable sites with sampling poles or Van Dorn water samplers lowered from bridges or other available structures. Samples will be field filtered/preserved, as appropriate, and delivered to the appropriate laboratory. Samples will be delivered to Senator William Wall Experiment Station in Lawrence (WES) for total phosphorus, total nitrogen, nitrate-nitrite, ammonia, chloride, trace elements (Ca+, Mg+, and Na+), dissolved hardness, and dissolved organic carbon analysis. Alpha Analytical in Westborough will serve as a backup laboratory for WES and any analyses that cannot be performed by WES due to resource constraints will be sent to Alpha Analytical. A minimum of one duplicate and one blank sample per analyte will be tested for QC for each sampling crew (10% of the samples).

Onset multiprobe (dissolved oxygen and temperature) will be deployed on a long-term continuous basis for 8-weeks between July and September at all sites. At deployment and prior to retrieval of multiprobes, as well as at various times during the deployment, QC readings will be taken using a separate meter as specified in WPP’s unattended probe SOPs. Every two weeks following deployment and until pickup, the deployed multiprobes will be cleaned as specified in WPP SOPs. After retrieval of deployed multiprobes, post-deployment calibration and QC checks on the data will be performed.

##### Water Quality (Bacteriological)

Water quality samples for *E. coli* bacteria analysis will be collected at all water quality monitoring sites. Sampling will be performed on six occasions within a 90-day window between June 1 and September 30. (Primary Contact Recreation Season). Crews of two persons each will collect the samples following protocols outlined in approved WPP SOPs. Samples will be stored on ice and transported to Pace Analytical in East Longmeadow (Site groups 10 - 12) or the WPP laboratory in Worcester (Site groups 1 – 9), within approximately 6 hours of collection for analysis. A minimum of one duplicate and one blank sample will be tested for QC for each sampling crew (10% of the samples).

##### Benthic Macroinvertebrate Community

The benthic macroinvertebrate community will be sampled once at all sites between July 1 and September 30. These organisms can integrate environmental conditions (chemical – including nutrients and toxics; and physical – including flow and water temperature) over a long period of time and are an excellent measure of the water body’s health. The sampling methodologies will vary per WPP standard operating procedures depending on available habitat (i.e., high gradient versus low gradient). Specimens will be placed into 2L Nalgene jars, preserved with denatured 95% ethanol and transported to the WPP lab for storage. Cole Ecological will process (i.e., subsample) the macroinvertebrate samples and complete the necessary taxonomic identifications. In addition, RBP habitat assessments will be completed at all sites sampled for benthic macroinvertebrates.

##### Fish Community

Fish community analyses will be conducted once between July 1 and September 30 at a subset of sites.

Only sites suspected of being a coldwater fishery but lacking confirmational fish community data for designation will be sampled in 2024. A selective or abbreviated fish community sampling protocol will be followed if coldwater fish in acceptable numbers are found at the site. If no coldwater fish are found at the site, a total pickup or full fish community sampling protocol will be used to collect fish community data. Fish will be collected within a 100-meter reach using a backpack or tote barge electrofisher and non-lethal techniques described in WPP standard operating procedures. Collected fish will be held in plastic buckets containing stream water until they are identified to species and a maximum of 25 individuals of each species are measured and weighed. The collected fish will then be redistributed throughout the reach. In addition, RBP habitat assessments will be completed at all sites sampled for fish.

#### Sampling Process Design

The 2024 sampling process is designed to collect water quality and biomonitoring data to support the assessment of selected rivers and streams in western Massachusetts. Both AUs and waters without AUs were selected for monitoring according to the prioritization scheme for targeted assessment monitoring outlined in the description of the WPP’s new Seven-year Flexible Watershed Monitoring Schedule (Appendix A). Methods for identifying candidate monitoring sites were developed for each of the top five (i.e., medium to high) priority categories and applied to rivers and streams in Cohort B2 to create a master list of potential sites. Brief descriptions of the site selection processes follow.

Priority Category 1 (High):This priority category focuses on the identification of waters on the Integrated List of Waters (IR) with causes of impairment that could be removed either because they have been restored or their original listing was in error. This process entailed a review of the most recent IR with attention given to older impairment listings carried forward from previous assessment and listing cycles for which no new data have been collected in the last 20 years. These waters were considered more likely to exhibit changes in their listing status because enough time had elapsed for various pollution control strategies to restore past impairments, or because assessment and listing methodologies had changed. River and stream AUs that were suspected of having inappropriate causes of impairment were added to the list of candidate waters to be monitored and assessed in 2024 (B2) to determine whether they can be delisted in a future integrated reporting cycle.

Priority Categories 2 (High) and 3 (Medium):These priority categories are aimed at identifying existing AUs (priority category 2) and/or other waters that are not yet defined as AUs (priority category 3) that are suspected of being impaired but are not included in the most recent IR. Candidate rivers and streams for these priority categories were identified by selecting all perennial 2nd order and higher NHDPlus High Resolution (HR) reaches (USGS 2019) within catchments characterized as stressed or highly stressed by the human disturbance gradient created for MassDEP biocriteria development (Jessup 2019).  Any of the selected reaches that are part of AUs for which the aquatic life use was already impaired in the most recent IR or future IR were eliminated from the priority category 2 and 3 candidate list.  The remaining rivers and streams on the candidate list were evaluated in GIS using aerial photography and other coverages to eliminate those with small watersheds (intermittency), sample feasibility issues (e.g., tidal, wetland, artificial pathway through a run-of-river impoundment, etc.), or with only small stream reaches in stressed or highly stressed catchments. The remaining rivers and streams were added to the list of candidate waters to be monitored and assessed in 2024 (B2).

Priority Category 4 (Medium): Some AUs are listed in the IR as impaired solely for a bioassessment cause (e.g. “benthic macroinvertebrates”, “fish bioassessments”, “lack of a coldwater assemblage”, “combined biota/habitat bioassessments”). These priority category 4 waters are candidates for the process of stressor identification which will help identify underlying causes of biological impairment and define appropriate measures (TMDL, habitat restoration, etc.) for their restoration. Candidate AUs were chosen first by simply identifying those AUs in the most recent IR for which the aquatic life use was impaired for one or more bioassessment cause, but no additional underlying pollutants or other causes were listed. Secondly, newer data not reflected in the most recent IR were reviewed to determine whether enough stressor data are already available for some of the candidate AUs, in which case they were eliminated from further consideration for monitoring in 2024 (B2).

Priority Category 5 (Medium): This monitoring priority is focused on AUs that may be coldwater fisheries (CWF), but available data and information are insufficient for designating them as such in the water quality standards. A preliminary list of candidate streams was created by removing streams already designated, or proposed for designation as CWF, in the Massachusetts surface water quality standards (SWQS) from the Massachusetts Division of Fisheries and Wildlife (MassDFW) list of Coldwater Fisheries Resources (CFR). Efforts were then made to determine whether enough data are currently available for the remaining waters on the CFR list to support their designation as CWF in future revisions of the SWQS. Those waters in Cohort A for which additional data are needed were proposed for monitoring in 2024 (B2).

A final master list of priority monitoring segments/streams/rivers was created by combining the results of the individual selection processes carried out for the five priority categories and comparing this list to monitoring recommendations that were made by WPP staff during previous assessments of these waters to add any additional segments/rivers/streams. In addition, duplicate segments/streams/rivers were eliminated from the master list. Finally, segments/streams/rivers identified in the category 5 (coldwater fisheries) selection process were eliminated if they were not represented in NHD, or identified as intermittent or 1st order in NHD, or had recently collected temperature data that had not yet been assessed.

A total of 160 candidate sites on priority segments/rivers/streams in Cohort B2 were assigned to 12 individual site groups which, in turn, were combined into four allotments for the 2024 monitoring effort. Each allotment of approximately 40 sites was assigned to an individual WPP monitoring coordinator who is responsible for site reconnaissance/evaluation, rejecting sites that are unsampleable, inaccessible or logistically infeasible, replacing sites that are unsampleable or inaccessible (if necessary), adding additional sites to fill out a survey group (if necessary), obtaining landowner access permission (if necessary), defining logistics for efficient monitoring, and generation of useable data at assigned sites using the procedures contained in WPP SOPs. Based on the field reconnaissance information and logistical/resource considerations, a final list of 93 sites was developed for monitoring in 2024 (Table 2). Monitoring site locations are illustrated in Figure 1. The project and monitoring schedules are outlined in Table 3.

| **Table 2.** Watershed Cohort B2 2024 monitoring sites | | | | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Site ID** | **Unique ID** | **Assessment Unit (AU)** | **Waterbody** | **Watershed** | **Site Group1** | **Site Description** | **Latitude** | **Longitude** |
| [OTR16](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.5644422,-72.01154154) | W0051 | MA35-06 | Otter River | Millers | 1 | [Route 2A bridge, Gardner] | 42.56444 | -72.01154 |
| [BMB11](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.6905,-71.959996) | W3336 | None | Bearmeadow Brook | Millers | 1 | [approximately 220 feet upstream/north of Tuckerman Road, Ashburnham] | 42.69050 | -71.96000 |
| [MLR12](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.68407003,-72.082975842) | W3337 | MA35-02 | Millers River | Millers | 1 | [River Street, Winchendon] | 42.68407 | -72.08298 |
| [MLR13](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.671754179,-72.093085768) | W3338 | MA35-02 | Millers River | Millers | 1 | [approximately 220 feet downstream/south of Sibley Road, Winchendon] | 42.67175 | -72.09309 |
| [MLN14](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.604768488,-72.042320152) | W3339 | None | Unnamed Tributary | Millers | 1 | [unnamed tributary to the Otter River Pool Dam (NAT ID: MA03364) impoundment, Happy Hollow Road, Winchendon] | 42.60477 | -72.04232 |
| [TMP15](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.526981626,-72.031948206) | W3340 | None | Templeton Brook | Millers | 1 | [Ragged Hill Road, Templeton] | 42.52698 | -72.03195 |
| [PND17](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.560162,-71.997456) | W3341 | None | Pond Brook | Millers | 1 | [upstream at Manca Drive, Gardner] | 42.56016 | -71.99746 |
| [FST18](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.554988551,-71.994857474) | W3342 | None | Unnamed Tributary | Millers | 1 | [unnamed tributary to the Otter River, Mill Street, Gardner ] | 42.55499 | -71.99486 |
| [MHN19](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.554260768,-71.972178595) | W3343 | MA35-27 | Mahoney Brook | Millers | 1 | [Partridge Street, Gardner] | 42.55426 | -71.97218 |
| [MLB21](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.591582831,-72.236691) | W3320 | None | Mill Brook | Millers | 2 | [Canal Street, Athol] | 42.59158 | -72.23669 |
| [MLR22](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.593577467,-72.240108923) | W3344 | MA35-04 | Millers River | Millers | 2 | [approximately 400 feet north/upstream of Main Street (Route 2A), Athol] | 42.59358 | -72.24011 |
| [TUL23](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.686632173,-72.28820917) | W3345 | None | Tully Brook | Millers | 2 | [Tully Brook Road crossing nearest Royalston Road, Warwick] | 42.68663 | -72.28821 |
| [MOS24](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.614479802,-72.352983359) | W3346 | None | Moss Brook | Millers | 2 | [Wendell Road, Warwick] | 42.61448 | -72.35298 |
| [OSG25](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.593671589,-72.366020176) | W3347 | None | Osgood Brook | Millers | 2 | [Wendell Depot Road (crossing nearest Route 2), Wendell] | 42.59367 | -72.36602 |
| [ORC26](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.598714971,-72.340134833) | W3348 | None | Orcutt Brook | Millers | 2 | [West Orange Road, Orange] | 42.59871 | -72.34013 |
| [WST27](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.578999761,-72.261286786) | W3349 | None | West Brook | Millers | 2 | [Brookside Road, Athol] | 42.57900 | -72.26129 |
| [RIC28](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.537966004,-72.247312578) | W3350 | None | Riceville Brook | Millers | 2 | [South Athol Road, Athol] | 42.53797 | -72.24731 |
| [NEL29](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.533152215,-72.211162908) | W3351 | None | Nelson Brook | Millers | 2 | [Nelson Road, Petersham] | 42.53315 | -72.21116 |
| [HIN33](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.62277889,-72.63537527) | W1346 | MA33-21 | Hinsdale Brook | Deerfield | 3 | [Green River Road, Greenfield (downstream of storm water swale and discharge pipes)] | 42.62278 | -72.63538 |
| [CHR38](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.608598026,-72.600719717) | W3323 | MA33-97 | Cherry Rum Brook | Deerfield | 3 | [upstream/east of Country Club Road, approximately 3 feet downstream from Paul Barger Dam (NAT ID: MA01545), Greenfield] | 42.60860 | -72.60072 |
| [MIL31](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.643748841,-72.583838538) | W3352 | MA33-70 | Mill Brook | Deerfield | 3 | [Log Plain Road West, Greenfield] | 42.64375 | -72.58384 |
| [GLN32](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.633993267,-72.612730971) | W3353 | MA33-96 | Glen Brook | Deerfield | 3 | [Farm Road, Greenfield] | 42.63399 | -72.61273 |
| [ALN34](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.611691582,-72.624632263) | W3354 | MA33-34 | Allen Brook | Deerfield | 3 | [Plain Road, Greenfield] | 42.61169 | -72.62463 |
| [WHL35](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.589085901,-72.630663582) | W3355 | MA33-95 | Wheeler Brook | Deerfield | 3 | [Mohawk Trail (Route 2), Greenfield] | 42.58909 | -72.63066 |
| [SMD36](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.584621324,-72.624365279) | W3356 | MA33-84 | Smead Brook | Deerfield | 3 | [Robbins Road, Greenfield] | 42.58462 | -72.62437 |
| [FUL37](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.532253041,-72.611236728) | W3357 | None | Fuller Swamp Brook | Deerfield | 3 | [Mill Village Road, Deerfield] | 42.53225 | -72.61124 |
| [GRNPAW](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.57625817,-72.59837788) | W0005 | MA33-30 | Green River | Deerfield | 4 | [footbridge east off Petty Plain Road, Greenfield] | 42.57626 | -72.59838 |
| [SRREEDS](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.51462924,-72.69395931) | W0009 | MA33-102 | South River | Deerfield | 4 | [at bridge crossing of unnamed road between Shelburne Falls Road and Reeds Bridge Road, Conway] | 42.51463 | -72.69396 |
| [PUMP](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.50744947,-72.69769116) | W1703 | MA33-32 | Pumpkin Hollow Brook | Deerfield | 4 | [Academy Hill Road, Conway] | 42.50745 | -72.69769 |
| [WHEELDS](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.588029704,-72.618211238) | W3335 | MA33-95 | Wheeler Brook | Deerfield | 4 | [Woodard Road, Greenfield] | 42.58803 | -72.61821 |
| [ARMS](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.597531224,-72.619937221) | W3370 | None | Arms Brook | Deerfield | 4 | [Colrain Road, Greenfield] | 42.59753 | -72.61994 |
| [SR116](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.509734,-72.759727) | W3371 | MA33-101 | South River | Deerfield | 4 | [approximately 1225 feet downstream from Bullitt Road, Ashfield] | 42.50973 | -72.75973 |
| [UNTBEAR](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.547569593,-72.767878644) | W3372 | None | Unnamed Tributary | Deerfield | 4 | [unnamed tributary to Bear River, Baptist Corner Road, Ashfield] | 42.54757 | -72.76788 |
| [DMINE](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.65884735,-72.85753773) | W0362 | MA33-18 | Davis Mine Brook | Deerfield | 5 | [just upstream of the confluence with Mill Brook, Charlemont] | 42.65885 | -72.85754 |
| [TROUT](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.632954,-72.93158) | W3373 | MA33-88 | Trout Brook | Deerfield | 5 | [Mohawk Trail (Route 2), Charlemont] | 42.63295 | -72.93158 |
| [LEG](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.628260087,-72.885474304) | W3374 | MA33-65 | Legate Hill Brook | Deerfield | 5 | [approximately 400 feet northwest/upstream of Main Street, Charlemont] | 42.62826 | -72.88547 |
| [CLSN](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.60417039,-72.77462862) | W3375 | MA33-15 | Clesson Brook | Deerfield | 5 | [approximately 3800 feet south/upstream of Ashfield Road crossing nearest Depot Road intersection, Buckland] | 42.60417 | -72.77463 |
| [AVERY](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.617488528,-72.810264104) | W3376 | MA33-35 | Avery Brook | Deerfield | 5 | [Route 2, Charlemont] | 42.61749 | -72.81026 |
| [SLUICE](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.583238598,-72.701422551) | W3377 | MA33-83 | Sluice Brook | Deerfield | 5 | [Shelburne Center Road, Shelburne] | 42.58324 | -72.70142 |
| [UNTDRAG](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.588934,-72.688384) | W3378 | None | Unnamed Tributary | Deerfield | 5 | [unnamed tributary to Dragon Brook, east of Shelburne Center Road, approximately 100 meters upstream of mouth at confluence with Dragon Brook, Shelburne] | 42.58893 | -72.68838 |
| [NORTHUP](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.65820742,-72.71499432) | W0022 | MA33-06 | North River | Deerfield | 6 | [Adamsville Road bridge, Colrain] | 42.65821 | -72.71499 |
| [EBNORTH](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.66988592,-72.71885779) | W1347 | MA33-19 | East Branch North River | Deerfield | 6 | ["Lyonsville Road", Colrain (site of old Arthur Smith Covered Bridge, taken out of service 1982, replaced in 2007, reopened to traffic in 2021)] | 42.66989 | -72.71886 |
| [WBNORTH](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.66589546,-72.72298808) | W1348 | MA33-27 | West Branch North River | Deerfield | 6 | [Adamsville Road, Colrain] | 42.66590 | -72.72299 |
| [CITY](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.670525289,-72.694780577) | W3379 | None | Unnamed Tributary | Deerfield | 6 | [unnamed tributary to East Branch North River, approximately 550 feet southeast/upstream of Greenfield Road, Colrain] | 42.67053 | -72.69478 |
| [MCLLN](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.649358559,-72.712443075) | W3380 | None | McClellan Brook | Deerfield | 6 | [Call Road, Colrain] | 42.64936 | -72.71244 |
| [NORTHDN](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.635739243,-72.732429584) | W3381 | MA33-06 | North River | Deerfield | 6 | [Main Road, Colrain] | 42.63574 | -72.73243 |
| [MDOW](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.637131367,-72.735452312) | W3382 | MA33-130 | Meadow Brook | Deerfield | 6 | [Charlemont Road, Colrain] | 42.63713 | -72.73545 |
| [WF-24-01](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.570194397,-73.032060837) | W3383 | None | Center Brook | Westfield | 7 | [Center Road (crossing approximately 220 feet upstream of mouth), Savoy] | 42.57019 | -73.03206 |
| [WF-24-02](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.567395448,-73.03705347) | W3384 | None | Drowned Land Brook | Westfield | 7 | [Old Main Road, Savoy] | 42.56740 | -73.03705 |
| [DF-24-01](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.576805895,-72.81211929) | W3385 | None | Sheperd Brook | Deerfield | 7 | [Clesson Brook Road, Buckland] | 42.57681 | -72.81212 |
| [WF-24-03](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.523779614,-72.991776865) | W3386 | None | Tower Brook | Westfield | 7 | [just upstream of confluence with Windsor Jambs Brook, north of Schoolhouse Road, Windsor] | 42.52378 | -72.99178 |
| [DF-24-02](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.577776896,-72.975991562) | W3387 | None | Unnamed Tributary | Deerfield | 7 | [unnamed tributary to the Chickley River, approximately 50 feet upstream from mouth, east of the intersection of Scott and Hawley roads, Savoy] | 42.57778 | -72.97599 |
| [DF-24-03](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.578593244,-72.851427836) | W3388 | None | Unnamed Tributary | Deerfield | 7 | [unnamed tributary to Clesson Brook, Buckland Road, Hawley] | 42.57859 | -72.85143 |
| [WF-24-04](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.504720585,-72.846774568) | W3389 | None | Unnamed Tributary | Westfield | 7 | [unnamed tributary to the Swift River at the southern end of Watson Spruce Corner Road , Ashfield] | 42.50472 | -72.84677 |
| [WF-24-05](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.523217,-72.99214) | W3390 | None | Windsor Jambs Brook | Westfield | 7 | [approximately 200 feet south/downstream from Schoolhouse Road, Windsor] | 42.52322 | -72.99214 |
| [WF-24-13](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.49277141,-72.97352293) | W0216 | MA32-04 | Westfield River | Westfield | 8 | [West Main Street bridge, Cummington] | 42.49277 | -72.97352 |
| [WF-24-08](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.34953932,-72.96741054) | W0266 | MA32-10 | Glendale Brook | Westfield | 8 | [Clark Wright Road bridge, Middlefield] | 42.34954 | -72.96741 |
| [WF-24-09](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.44139459,-72.99462504) | W2908 | None | Trout Brook | Westfield | 8 | [Parish Road, Worthington] | 42.44139 | -72.99463 |
| [WF-24-06](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.424796,-72.91935) | W3391 | None | Childs Brook | Westfield | 8 | [approximately 50 feet upstream of mouth at confluence with Bronson Brook, east of Capen Street, Worthington] | 42.42480 | -72.91935 |
| [WF-24-07](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.343777979,-72.844670342) | W3392 | MA32-63 | Dead Branch (Brook) | Westfield | 8 | [east of Indian Hollow Road, approximately 1375 feet from mouth at confluence with the Westfield River, Chesterfield] | 42.34378 | -72.84467 |
| [WF-24-10](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.396953681,-73.01536166) | W3393 | None | Tuttle Brook | Westfield | 8 | [Curtin Road, Peru] | 42.39695 | -73.01536 |
| [WF-24-11](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.386396573,-72.977422355) | W3394 | None | Unnamed Tributary | Westfield | 8 | [unnamed tributary to the eastern edge of the Middle Branch Westfield River (nearest Sam Hill Road), approximately 40 feet from confluence, Worthington] | 42.38640 | -72.97742 |
| [WF-24-12](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.49912911,-72.983381992) | W3395 | None | Unnamed Tributary | Westfield | 8 | [unnamed tributary to the eastern edge of the Westfield River approximately 0.7 miles upstream of the intersection of Savoy and River roads in Cummington, just upstream from mouth at confluence with Westfield River, Windsor ] | 42.49913 | -72.98338 |
| [WF-24-16](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.25017433,-72.95320996) | W0253 | MA32-31 | Sanderson Brook | Westfield | 9 | [off the west side of Sanderson Brook Road approximately 1000 meters south (upstream) of Route 20, Chester] | 42.25017 | -72.95321 |
| [WF-24-14](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.341642021,-73.054285765) | W3396 | None | Coles Brook | Westfield | 9 | [Ryan Road, Middlefield] | 42.34164 | -73.05429 |
| [WF-24-15](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.243466515,-72.935106444) | W3397 | None | Goldmine Brook | Westfield | 9 | [ approximately 0.7 miles upstream of Huntington Road, Chester, approximately 50 feet upstream of unnamed tributary to the western bank, Chester] | 42.24347 | -72.93511 |
| [WF-24-17](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.266515049,-73.037634351) | W3398 | None | Spark Brook | Westfield | 9 | [Chester Road, Becket] | 42.26652 | -73.03763 |
| [WF-24-18](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.372126007,-73.129333703) | W3399 | None | Unnamed Tributary | Westfield | 9 | [unnamed tributary to Depot Brook, south of Simmons Road approximately 780 feet upstream of confluence with Depot Brook, Washington ] | 42.37213 | -73.12933 |
| [WF-24-20](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.239376878,-72.957240636) | W3401 | None | Unnamed Tributary | Westfield | 9 | [unnamed tributary to Sanderson Brook (nearest the State Road intersection), Sanderson Brook Road, Blandford] | 42.23938 | -72.95724 |
| [WF-24-21](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.343056218,-73.136309682) | W3402 | None | Watson Brook | Westfield | 9 | [Watson Road, Washington] | 42.34306 | -73.13631 |
| [Westfield](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.22568842,-72.87073188) | W0221 | MA32-05 | Westfield River | Westfield | 10 | [Route 20, at roadside park downstream from confluence with West Branch Westfield River, Huntington] | 42.22569 | -72.87073 |
| [Powder](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.16253386,-72.76310251) | W0234 | MA32-09 | Powdermill Brook | Westfield | 10 | [Russellville Road bridge, Westfield] | 42.16253 | -72.76310 |
| [Potash](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.16599233,-72.83056299) | W0244 | MA32-22 | Potash Brook | Westfield | 10 | [Woronoco Road bridge, Russell] | 42.16599 | -72.83056 |
| [LITL](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.11664658,-72.7336661) | W0808 | MA32-08 | Little River | Westfield | 10 | [Route 20 bridge, Westfield] | 42.11665 | -72.73367 |
| [Arm](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.16055461,-72.7316027) | W2824 | MA32-58 | Arm Brook | Westfield | 10 | [approximately 75 feet downstream of Egleston Road, Westfield] | 42.16055 | -72.73160 |
| [Wigwam](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.181986381,-72.879339643) | W3403 | None | Wigwam Brook | Westfield | 10 | [at mouth, just upstream of confluence with Freeland Brook (forming headwaters of Stage Brook), Russell] | 42.18199 | -72.87934 |
| [Moose](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.161403219,-72.80610636) | W3404 | MA32-41 | Moose Meadow Brook | Westfield | 10 | [northwest of northern end of Reservoir Road, Westfield, approximately 40 feet upstream of the removed Tekoa Dam, Montgomery] | 42.16140 | -72.80611 |
| [UNTMMB](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.158016035,-72.796882478) | W3405 | None | Unnamed Tributary | Westfield | 10 | [unnamed tributary to Moose Meadow Brook (the one closest to Montgomery town line, locally 'Cooley Brook'), Reservoir Road, Westfield] | 42.15802 | -72.79688 |
| [Great](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.09864514,-72.71289243) | W0233 | MA32-25 | Great Brook | Westfield | 11 | [Little River Road, Westfield] | 42.09865 | -72.71289 |
| [LittleUp](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.13036417,-72.82266094) | W1462 | MA32-36 | Little River | Westfield | 11 | [Northwest Road bridge, Westfield] | 42.13036 | -72.82266 |
| [Cook](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.12372872,-72.8205014) | W2827 | MA32-38 | Cook Brook | Westfield | 11 | [Northwest Road, Westfield] | 42.12373 | -72.82050 |
| [UNTLloyd](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.156854514,-72.997882007) | W3406 | None | Unnamed Tributary | Westfield | 11 | [unnamed tributary to Lloyd Brook, Shepard Road, Blandford] | 42.15685 | -72.99788 |
| [Case](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.167202799,-72.979166343) | W3407 | None | Case Brook | Westfield | 11 | [approximately 2800 feet upstream of mouth at confluence with Pond Brook, south of Lloyd Road, Blandford (approximately 80 feet downstream of unnamed tributary to western bank)] | 42.16720 | -72.97917 |
| [Phelon](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.121727676,-72.925394236) | W3408 | None | Phelon Brook | Westfield | 11 | [Phelon Road, Granville] | 42.12173 | -72.92539 |
| [UNTWestfield](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.097152387,-72.683259503) | W3409 | None | Unnamed Tributary | Westfield | 11 | [unnamed tributary to the Westfield River approximately 150 feet upstream of North Westfield Street, Agawam ] | 42.09715 | -72.68326 |
| [Dickinson](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.06530968,-72.8496445) | W1461 | MA32-34 | Dickinson Brook | Westfield | 12 | [Sodom Street bridge, Granville] | 42.06531 | -72.84964 |
| [Tillotson](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.089293448,-72.856613096) | W3410 | None | Tillotson Brook | Westfield | 12 | [Old Westfield Road, Granville] | 42.08929 | -72.85661 |
| [Trumble](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.057640109,-72.871839176) | W3411 | None | Trumble Brook | Westfield | 12 | [Trumble Lane, Granville] | 42.05764 | -72.87184 |
| [Munn](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.100492175,-72.808759912) | W3412 | MA32-59 | Munn Brook | Westfield | 12 | [approximately 250 feet upstream of Loomis Street, Westfield] | 42.10049 | -72.80876 |
| [Shurtleff](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.086767888,-72.812598173) | W3413 | None | Shurtleff Brook | Westfield | 12 | [North Loomis Street, Southwick] | 42.08677 | -72.81260 |
| [Johnson](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.040225837,-72.78463341) | W3414 | None | Johnson Brook | Westfield | 12 | [Route 10/202 (College Highway), Southwick] | 42.04023 | -72.78463 |
| [Pearl](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.031367211,-72.782887472) | W3415 | None | Pearl Brook | Westfield | 12 | [Southwick Acres Campground access road (256 College Highway), Southwick] | 42.03137 | -72.78289 |
| [Kellog](http://maps.google.com/maps?q=loc:42.085952082,-72.730658039) | W3416 | MA32-55 | Kellog Brook | Westfield | 12 | [Shaker Road, Westfield] | 42.08595 | -72.73066 |
| 1 Groups 1-3 = Site Allotment B2-1, Groups 4-6 = Site Allotment B2-2, Groups 7-9 = Site Allotment B2-3, Groups 10-12 = Site Allotment B2-4 | | | | | | | | | |
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**Figure 1.** Watershed Cohort B2 2024 targeted assessment monitoring sites

| **Table 3.** Project Schedule for 2024 targeted assessment monitoring | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Activity** | **Approx. Date of Initiation** | **Approx. Date of Completion** | **Deliverable** |
| Coordination, staff meetings, reconnaissance, river/stream sampling plan development, site selection, etc. | Jan 2024 | Apr 2024 | Draft sampling plan; meeting notes, etc. |
| Draft sampling plan review and approval | Mar 2024 | Apr 2024 | Internal WPP concurrence on sampling plan |
| Probes deploy/retrieval | Jul 2024 | Sep 2024 | Continuous DO/temperature data |
| Water quality sampling surveys  (6 visits) | May 2024 | Sep 2024 | Field data; lab samples to WES, WPP, EPA and/or contract lab(s) |
| Benthic/Habitat sampling surveys  (1 visit) | Jul 2024 | Sep 2024 | Field data; benthic samples to contractor |
| Fish Population sampling surveys  (1 visit) | Aug 2024 | Sep 2024 | Field data |
| Data QA/QC review and validation | Jan 2025 | Jun 2025 | 2024 Data Validation Report |
| Data review, analysis and preliminary reporting | Jun 2025 | Mar 2026 | Final data analysis |

#### Non-Direct Measurements

Table 4 presents a brief list of relevant external data sources that may be used in coordinating monitoring efforts or the interpretation of monitoring data. For example, stage data from the USGS could be used to determine if water levels are appropriate for certain types of sampling or rain data from NCEI could be used to determine if a sampling event occurred during wet or dry weather.

**Table 4.** External data sources used for the 2024 targeted assessment monitoring.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Organization** | **Data** |
| United States Geological Survey (USGS)  <https://www.usgs.gov/centers/new-england-water/> | Continuously stream stage and discharge measurements at gage stations within the project extent. |
| National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI)  <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/> | Daily precipitation and temperature data weather stations within the project extent. |
| The Weather Underground  <http://www.wunderground.com/> | Daily precipitation and temperature data weather stations within the southwestern basin group. |
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#### Appendix A – Seven-year Flexible Watershed Monitoring Schedule

**Introduction**

Most elements of the MassDEP’s existing surface water monitoring program are administered by personnel of the Division of Watershed Management’s Watershed Planning Program (DWM-WPP). Current and proposed surface water monitoring program elements are presented in *A Strategy for Monitoring and Assessing the Quality of Massachusetts’ Waters to Support Multiple Water Resource Management Objectives 2016 - 2025* (the Monitoring Strategy). The ultimate goal embodied in the Monitoring Strategy is to implement a comprehensive monitoring program that serves all water quality management needs and addresses all water body types. As such, the monitoring program is designed to provide data and information from streams, rivers, lakes, reservoirs, estuaries, coastal areas and wetlands to support the five major objectives listed below.

1. Assess the status or condition of Massachusetts’ waters
2. Develop, implement and evaluate pollution control strategies
3. Develop policies and standards and identify emerging issues
4. Measure the effectiveness of water quality management programs
5. Maintain reserve monitoring capacity to respond to unforeseen data needs

Major themes inherent in both the MassDEP’s water management programs and the monitoring elements that support them are 1) the focus on the watershed as the fundamental planning unit for water quality management, 2) the assessment of biological communities, such as aquatic macroinvertebrates and fish, as the most reliable indicators of water quality conditions and ecosystem health, 3) the application of new technology and streamlined systems for data processing and analysis to support monitoring and assessment activities, and 4) the formation and reliance on partnerships and collaboration to meet water quality goals. Massachusetts’ existing and proposed water monitoring programs include both deterministic (targeted) and probabilistic (random) sampling networks and encompass both rotating watershed monitoring cycles as well as non-rotating, priority-driven schedules.

Probabilistic sampling designs provide statistically valid estimates of the use support status of 100% of the waters in a target population (e.g., shallow streams, deep rivers, lakes, etc.) with data and information collected from a random sample of those waters. Beginning in 2011 MassDEP carried out a five-year probabilistic survey of shallow streams and applied a similar sampling design to lakes and ponds from 2016 – 2018. A random sampling design for Massachusetts’ coastal waters is currently in development.

While probabilistically derived sampling networks determine, at larger scales (e.g., statewide), the percentage of stream miles or lake acres that are meeting water quality standards, they are not as useful for assessing the use-support status of individual water bodies or assessment units (AU) or for identifying individual impaired waters for listing pursuant to § 303(d) of the Clean Water Act (CWA). The Monitoring Strategy calls for the use of targeted monitoring designs to confirm causes and identify sources of impairment or to demonstrate that previously impaired waters are now supporting their beneficial uses and can be removed from the 303(d) list of impaired waters. Furthermore, monitoring data from targeted waters are needed to develop, implement and measure the effectiveness of control strategies, such as TMDLs, watershed-based plans, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) wastewater discharge permits and best management practices (BMP). These program elements and the monitoring networks needed to support them are depicted in Figure 1.

**Rotating Watershed Water Quality Management**

Watershed protection is the dominant theme of many state water quality management programs, and the EPA has endorsed this approach by providing financial and technical support for watershed-based water quality management activities. In 1993 the MassDEP placed the 27 major watersheds and coastal drainage areas (loosely termed “basins”) in Massachusetts on a rotating five-year schedule to synchronize monitoring, assessment and other components of its watershed management program. The goal was to allocate one year to each of five water management steps or phases (i.e., Year 1 – planning; Year 2 – monitoring; Year 3 – assessment; Year 4 – implementation of control strategies; and Year 5 – effectiveness evaluation), after which the process would begin again.

The completion of all the steps in the watershed management process within a five-year timeframe proved to be impracticable. The practice of watershed management is inherently complex, resource-intensive and time-consuming and project demand often outpaces available funding and other resources. Therefore, while MassDEP’s water management program continues to progress in a stepwise fashion to restore impaired waters and protect waters that meet water quality standards, in practice these steps are typically not completed within a five-year timeframe as originally conceived. Furthermore, the watersheds were originally grouped to balance workloads associated with permitting and other related administrative tasks rather than equalizing the number of river miles or lake acres among the five groups for monitoring and assessment purposes. To facilitate monitoring, the “basins” were regrouped in 2010 on a regional basis to take advantage of potential benefits to monitoring survey logistics of more closely aligned watersheds, and to more equitably distribute Massachusetts’ total river miles among the five groups. To date, despite this new arrangement, targeted assessment monitoring in these watershed groups has been precluded by priority-driven monitoring schedules and a general lack of resources.

**Flexible Seven-Year Basin Rotation for Monitoring**

The use of the watershed, or river basin, as a fundamental planning unit for water quality management was a guiding principle in the development of the Monitoring Strategy, and it remains a goal of the DWM-WPP to resume monitoring and assessment activities on a rotating watershed schedule. However, the need exists to maintain enough flexibility within that schedule to perform additional monitoring to meet other water management program needs. To that end, the DWM-WPP is establishing a sequential schedule that provides the opportunity for monitoring to be carried out in each watershed at least once every seven years yet allows for monitoring resources to be disproportionately applied in each watershed to fulfill specific water resource management objectives. Massachusetts’ 27 major watersheds and coastal drainage areas have been arranged by geography (and hydrology) into four major groups, or cohorts (A-D), each consisting of from three to ten “basins”. In turn, each major cohort is subdivided into one or two minor cohorts, each of which represents one year of the seven-year monitoring schedule (Figures 2 and 3).

Basic assessment monitoring will be performed in each minor cohort for one year and will be consecutive within major cohorts (e.g. A2 will always follow A1). However, the extended time allotted to the major cohorts (two years for all but three basins) allows for the basic schedule to be adapted to fulfill specific data needs. As such, the level of effort applied to monitoring within each major cohort, will not necessarily be evenly distributed through the minor cohorts or among individual basins, but will vary depending on priorities and objectives. Therefore, targeted monitoring may be focused anywhere within the major cohort and, if necessary, extend through all or a portion of the time allotted to that cohort. A few examples are presented below to illustrate how the above design provides the flexibility to meet a number of monitoring objectives while maintaining an overall rotating watershed structure.

Absent the need for site- or project-specific data, the overall monitoring goal will default to basic assessment and monitoring each year will be designed in accordance with the prioritization scheme presented in the following section. In Cohort A, the Concord, Merrimack, Nashua and Shawsheen watersheds (A1) would be monitored in Year 1 followed by the Ipswich, Parker, and Charles watersheds, and North Coastal and Boston Harbor coastal drainage systems (A2) in Year 2. WPP monitoring resources could be applied disproportionately, if, for example, the Merrimack and Charles watersheds received higher priority for whatever reason, in which case they might be monitored for both years in Cohort A.

Alternatively, sampling at selected locations could be performed for up to two years in larger-order rivers if pollutant loading information is needed to support TMDL development or NPDES permit development, while rotating through smaller-order rivers in the corresponding minor cohorts. If intensive surveys were needed to support model development in a particular watershed, they could also be carried out in multiple years within the major cohort. Finally, depending upon circumstances, monitoring resources might be more efficiently applied by performing stream sampling in both minor cohorts (A1 and A2) in the first year followed by lake sampling in the second year.

The proposed rotating basin structure not only provides flexibility to prioritize and apportion monitoring resources, but also presents multiple options for managing various monitoring program elements. For example, the delegation of monitoring projects to WPP monitoring personnel can be place-based (e.g., monitoring coordinator is assigned a basin for managing any monitoring activities in that basin), expertise-driven (e.g., one coordinator manages all fish sampling to be carried out in a given year, or resource-driven (e.g., one coordinator manages lake monitoring, while others coordinate stream sampling, etc.). In any case, projects will be assigned in accordance with the monitoring priorities established for the major cohort and may extend up to two years in most cohorts.

**Prioritizing Waters for Targeted Assessment Monitoring**

| **Priority** | **Priority Order** | **General Category** | **Category Descriptions1** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| High | 1 | Delisting | Assessment units currently listed in Categories 4c or 5 where there are indications it should not be listed for at least one impairment cause (indications can be environmental improvement or listing/assessment methodology changes or errors). |
| High | 2 | Listing | Assessment units NOT listed in Categories 4c or 5 where there are indications it should be listed for at least one impairment cause (indications can be environmental degradation or listing/assessment methodology changes or errors). |
| Medium | 3 | Unassessed (suspect impairment) | Assessment units listed in Category 3 or waters without an assessment unit where there are indications it could listed in Categories 4c or 5 for at least one impairment cause (i.e. unassessed waters suspected to be impaired). |
| Medium | 4 | Stressor Identification | Assessment units listed in Category 5 for a non-pollutant (i.e. Fishes Bioassessment, Aquatic Macroinvertebrate Bioassessment) with no stressor impairment causes. |
| Medium | 5 | CWF Determination | Assessment units or waters without an assessment unit thought to be an undesignated or under documented coldwater fishery (CWF). |
| Low | 6 | Unassessed (suspect support) | Assessment units listed in Category 3 or waters without an assessment where there are indications it could listed in Category 1 or 2 (i.e. unassessed waters likely to support uses). |
| Low | 7 | Priority NPDES | Assessment units or most sensitive receiving waters with a prioritized NPDES permit development (i.e. situations where a reassessment of the targeted assessment unit would be of value). |
| Low | 8 | TMDL Effectiveness | Assessment units currently listed in Category 4a where the TMDL was potentially successful in removing the use impairment or the original listing of the impairment cause was potentially in error. |
| Low | 9 | Priority TMDL | Assessment units in Category 5 prioritized for TMDL development (i.e. situations where a reassessment of the listed assessment unit would be of value). |

1 – Assessment units placed in prioritization categories based on available information (indications) from multiple sources (monitoring data, landscape data, pollution control measures, assessment methodologies, etc.). Annual basin selection will be consistent with rotating basin schedule. Monitoring parameters will generally include all typical default analytes (e.g., bacteria, nutrients, chloride, probes) unless an indicator specific project is identified.
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**Figure 1.** Monitoring Networks for Multiple Water Management Objectives

**Figure 2**. DWM-WPP Seven-Year Basin Rotation for Water Resource Monitoring

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  | **NHD Water Resource Estimations** | | | | | **Assessment Units** | |
| **NAME** | **Major Cohort** | **Total Years in Cohort** | **Minor Cohort** | **Perennial River Miles** | **River Miles per Year** | **Lakes > 5 Acres** | **Lake Acreage** | **Lakes per Year** | **River Segments (Total Length Miles)** | **Lake Segments (Total Area Acres)** |
| Concord (SuAsCo) | A | 2  (2021-2022) | A1 | 529 | 1324 | 134 | 7186 | 367 | 53 (187) | 61 (6572) |
| Merrimack | A1 | 344 | 93 | 5071 | 39 (140) | 29 (3515) |
| Nashua | A1 | 664 | 126 | 10344 | 79 (273) | 69 (9524) |
| Shawsheen | A1 | 98 | 12 | 408 | 21 (65) | 14 (406) |
| Ipswich | A2 | 157 | 68 | 2992 | 22 (97) | 39 (1922) |
| North Coastal | A2 | 116 | 64 | 2698 | 22 (41) | 42 (2006) |
| Parker | A2 | 81 | 24 | 588 | 7 (28) | 12 (290) |
| Charles | A2 | 384 | 113 | 3726 | 45 (178) | 50 (2824) |
| Boston Harbor | A2 | 274 | 100 | 4352 | 60 (166) | 50 (2982) |
| Connecticut | B | 2  (2023-2024) | B1 | 999 | 1722 | 96 | 3358 | 233 | 63 (345) | 46 (2460) |
| Chicopee | B1 | 907 | 168 | 31113 | 51 (259) | 74 (29797) |
| Millers | B2 | 435 | 97 | 4762 | 30 (134) | 64 (3846) |
| Westfield | B2 | 630 | 85 | 4295 | 63 (320) | 33 (3648) |
| Deerfield | B2 | 474 | 21 | 788 | 130 (366) | 22 (561) |
| Ten Mile | C | 2  (2025-2026) | C1 | 92 | 1322 | 21 | 937 | 611 | 10 (35) | 12 (595) |
| Taunton | C1 | 746 | 223 | 13697 | 49 (222) | 87 (10901) |
| Narragansett Bay | C1 | 178 | 29 | 4552 | 20 (65) | 6 (3769) |
| Blackstone | C1 | 334 | 148 | 6509 | 48 (168) | 100 (5177) |
| Quinebaug | C1 | 210 | 56 | 2451 | 28 (86) | 25 (1980) |
| French | C1 | 97 | 56 | 3603 | 18 (39) | 43 (3420) |
| Buzzards Bay | C2 | 479 | 210 | 6546 | 25 (79) | 72 (4983) |
| South Coastal | C2 | 244 | 131 | 4925 | 22 (58) | 75 (4214) |
| Cape Cod | C2 | 177 | 280 | 11567 | 16 (33) | 68 (5706) |
| Islands | C2 | 86 | 69 | 5738 | 6 (12) | 5 (106) |
| Housatonic | D | 1  (2027) | D1 | 547 | 952 | 117 | 5982 | 194 | 35 (219) | 33 (4284) |
| Hudson | D1 | 229 | 13 | 759 | 26 (109) | 8 (716) |
| Farmington | D1 | 175 | 64 | 3907 | 40 (108) | 18 (2135) |
|  |  |  | **Totals** | 9687 |  | 2618 | 152852 |  | 1028 (3830) | 1157 (118338) |

**Figure 3.** DWM-WPP Seven-Year Basin Rotation for Water Resource Monitoring
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